

Show *all* of your work and *explain* your answers fully. There is a total of 95 possible points.

1. Find the vector form of the solutions to the system of equations below. (15 points)

$$\begin{aligned} 2x_1 - 4x_2 + 3x_3 + x_5 &= 6 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3 + 14x_4 - 4x_5 &= 15 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 + 2x_4 + x_5 &= -1 \\ -2x_1 + 4x_2 - 12x_4 + x_5 &= -7 \end{aligned}$$

Solution: Row-reduce the augmented matrix representing this system, to find

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccccc} \boxed{1} & -2 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & -4 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

The system is consistent (no leading one in column 6, Theorem RCLS).  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  are the free variables. Now apply Theorem VFSLs, or follow the three-step process of Example SS.VFSADI, Example SS.VFSAL to obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 0 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. For the matrix  $A$  below, find a set of vectors  $S$  so that (1)  $S$  is linearly independent, and (2) the span of  $S$  equals the null space of  $A$ ,  $\text{Sp}(S) = \text{N}(A)$ . (15 points)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 6 & -8 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & -6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution: Theorem BNS says that if we find the vector form of the solutions to the homogeneous system  $\text{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$ , then the fixed vectors (one per free variable) will have the desired properties. Row-reduce  $A$ , viewing it as the augmented matrix of a homogeneous system with an invisible columns of zeros as the last column,

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \boxed{1} & 0 & 4 & -5 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 2 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Moving to the vector form of the solutions (Theorem VFSLs), with free variables  $x_3$  and  $x_4$ , solutions to the consistent system (it is homogenous, Theorem HSC) can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then with  $S$  given by

$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Theorem BNS guarantees the set has the desired properties.

3. Suppose that  $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ . Let  $W = \text{Sp}(S)$ . (15 points)

(a) Let  $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \\ -12 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $\mathbf{x} \in W$ ? If so, provide an explicit linear combination that demonstrates this.

Solution: Rephrasing the question, we want to know if there are scalars  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that

$$\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \\ -12 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem SLSLC allows us to rephrase the question again as a quest for solutions to the system of four equations in two unknowns with an augmented matrix given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ -1 & 2 & 8 \\ 3 & -2 & -12 \\ 4 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix row-reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the form of this matrix, we can see that  $\alpha_1 = -2$  and  $\alpha_2 = 3$  is an affirmative answer to our question. More convincingly,

$$(-2) \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + (3) \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 8 \\ -12 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Let  $\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ . Is  $\mathbf{y} \in W$ ? If so, provide an explicit linear combination that demonstrates this.

Solution: Rephrasing the question, we want to know if there are scalars  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  such that

$$\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem SLSLC allows us to rephrase the question again as a quest for solutions to the system of four equations in two unknowns with an augmented matrix given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 5 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -2 & 3 \\ 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix row-reduces to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

With a leading 1 in the last column of this matrix (Theorem RCLS) we can see that the system of equations has no solution, so there are no values for  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  that will allow us to conclude that  $\mathbf{y}$  is in  $W$ . So  $\mathbf{y} \notin W$ .

4. Determine if the sets of vectors below are linearly independent or linearly dependent. (20 points)

(a)  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Solution: With three vectors from  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , we can form a square matrix by making these three vectors the columns of a matrix. We do so, and row-reduce to obtain,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} \end{bmatrix}$$

the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. So by NSME2 the original matrix is nonsingular and its columns are therefore a linearly independent set.

(b)  $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 3 \\ -6 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Solution: Corollary LIVRN says we can answer this question by putting these vectors into a matrix as columns and row-reducing. Doing this we obtain,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

With  $n = 3$  (3 vectors, 3 columns) and  $r = 3$  (3 leading 1's) we have  $n = r$  and the corollary says the vectors are linearly independent.

$$(c) \quad \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -3 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 8 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Solution: Five vectors from  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . Theorem MVSLD says the set is linearly dependent. Boom.

5. Consider the set of vectors from  $\mathbb{C}^3$ ,  $W$ , given below. Find a set  $T$  that contains three vectors and  $W = \text{Sp}(T)$ . (15 points)

$$W = \text{Sp}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5\}) = \text{Sp}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

Solution: We want to find some relations of linear dependence on  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5\}$  that will allow us to “kick out” some vectors, in the spirit of Example SS.SCAD and Example LIRS. To find relations of linear dependence, we formulate a matrix  $A$  whose columns are  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5$ . Then we consider the homogeneous system of equations  $\text{LS}(A, \mathbf{0})$  by row-reducing its coefficient matrix (remember that if we formulated the augmented matrix we would just add a column of zeros). After row-reducing, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \boxed{1} & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

From this we see that solutions can be obtained employing the free variables  $x_4$  and  $x_5$ . With appropriate choices we will be able to conclude that vectors  $\mathbf{v}_4$  and  $\mathbf{v}_5$  are unnecessary for creating  $W$  via a span. By Theorem SLSLC the choice of free variables below lead to solutions and linear combinations, which are then rearranged.

$$\begin{aligned} x_4 = 1, x_5 = 0 &\Rightarrow (-2)\mathbf{v}_1 + (-1)\mathbf{v}_2 + (0)\mathbf{v}_3 + (1)\mathbf{v}_4 + (0)\mathbf{v}_5 = \mathbf{0} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_4 = 2\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 \\ x_4 = 0, x_5 = 1 &\Rightarrow (1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (2)\mathbf{v}_2 + (0)\mathbf{v}_3 + (0)\mathbf{v}_4 + (1)\mathbf{v}_5 = \mathbf{0} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_5 = -\mathbf{v}_1 - 2\mathbf{v}_2 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}_4$  and  $\mathbf{v}_5$  can be expressed as linear combinations of  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  we can say that  $\mathbf{v}_4$  and  $\mathbf{v}_5$  are not needed for the linear combinations used to build  $W$ . Thus

$$W = \text{Sp}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}) = \text{Sp}\left(\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right\}\right)$$

There are other answers to this question, but notice that any nontrivial linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_5$  will have a zero coefficient on  $\mathbf{v}_3$ , so this vector can never be eliminated from the set used to build the span.

Though it was not requested in the problem, notice that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$  is a linearly independent set, so we cannot make the set any smaller and still span  $W$ .

6. Suppose that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4\}$  is a linearly independent set in  $\mathbb{C}^{35}$ . Prove that

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3 + \mathbf{v}_4\}$$

is a linearly independent set. (15 points)

Solution: Our hypothesis and our conclusion use the term linear independence, so it will get a workout. To establish linear independence, we begin with the definition (Definition LICV) and write a relation of linear dependence (Definition RLDCV),

$$\alpha_1(\mathbf{v}_1) + \alpha_2(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + \alpha_3(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3) + \alpha_4(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3 + \mathbf{v}_4) = \mathbf{0}$$

Using the distributive and commutative properties of vector addition and scalar multiplication (Theorem VSPCM) this equation can be rearranged as

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\mathbf{v}_1 + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\mathbf{v}_2 + (\alpha_3 + \alpha_4)\mathbf{v}_3 + (\alpha_4)\mathbf{v}_4 = \mathbf{0}$$

However, this is a relation of linear dependence (Definition RLDCV) on a linearly independent set,  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4\}$  (this was our lone hypothesis). By the definition of linear independence (Definition LICV) the scalars must all be zero. This is the homogeneous system of equations,

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$$

$$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0$$

$$\alpha_4 = 0$$

Row-reducing the coefficient matrix of this system (or backsolving) gives the conclusion

$$\alpha_1 = 0$$

$$\alpha_2 = 0$$

$$\alpha_3 = 0$$

$$\alpha_4 = 0$$

This means, by Definition LICV, that the original set

$$\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3 + \mathbf{v}_4\}$$

is linearly independent.