

Show *all* of your work and *explain* your answers fully. There is a total of 100 possible points.
Use Sage only to row-reduce matrices and include these computations in your answers.

1. Determine if the vector y is in the span of the set $S, \langle S \rangle$. (15 points)

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -11 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -5 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3 + a_4 v_4 + a_5 v_5 + a_6 v_6 = y$ $\xrightarrow{\text{SLSC}}$ System with

augmented matrix $\xrightarrow{\text{RREF}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & -4 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & -5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

System is consistent (RCLS) so a_i exist and $y \in \langle S \rangle$.

2. Determine if the sets of vectors below are linearly independent or not. Be sure to provide sufficient justification. (20 points)

(a) $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

Apply Theorem LIVRN in each part.
Vectors become columns of matrix which is row-reduced.

matrix $\xrightarrow{\text{RREF}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \textcircled{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$3 = n = r = 3$
so set is linearly independent

(b) $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 5 \\ -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$

matrix $\xrightarrow{\text{RREF}}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \textcircled{1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$3 = n \neq r = 2$
so the set is linearly dependent



3. The set S below is the same as in Question 1. Find a linearly independent set T so that $\langle T \rangle = \langle S \rangle$. (10 points)

$$S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -5 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

By theorem BS we just collect v_1, v_2, v_4
 since $D = \{1, 2, 4, 6\}$
 $T = \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_6\}$

4. The vector y below is the same as in Question 1. Find a linear combination of the vectors in the set T (that you found in the previous question) that equals y . Comment thoughtfully on the relationship between the results in Question 1, the previous question, and this question. (10 points)

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -11 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Form linear combination, use SLCC to form a system and row-reduce augmented matrix

$$[v_1 | v_2 | v_4 | v_6 | y] \xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = 1v_1 + (-3)v_2 + 2v_4$$

There are many linear combos of S that equal y . There is just one linear combo of T that equals y .

5. Find a linearly independent set R whose span is the null space of the matrix A below. In other words, R will be linearly independent and $\langle R \rangle = N(A)$. (10 points)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 & 7 & -4 & 4 \\ 1 & -2 & 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & -8 & 5 & -8 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{RREF}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F = \{3, 5\}$$

Apply Theorem BNS

$$N(A) = \left\langle \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right\rangle = \left\langle \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \right\rangle$$



6. Given two vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ define a new operation, called *subtraction*, by $[\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}]_i = [\mathbf{u}]_i - [\mathbf{v}]_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$. Prove that subtraction is not really anything new (because we can accomplish subtraction with operations we already have) by showing that $\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + (-1)\mathbf{v}$. (10 points)

For $1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} [\underline{\mathbf{u}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}}]_i &= [\underline{\mathbf{u}}]_i - [\underline{\mathbf{v}}]_i && \text{Definition} \\ &= [\underline{\mathbf{u}}]_i + (-1)[\underline{\mathbf{v}}]_i && \text{scalar operation} \\ &= [\underline{\mathbf{u}}]_i + [(-1)\underline{\mathbf{v}}]_i && \text{CVSM} \\ &= [\underline{\mathbf{u}} + (-1)\underline{\mathbf{v}}]_i && \text{CVA} \end{aligned}$$

So by Defn CVE $\underline{\mathbf{u}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}} = \underline{\mathbf{u}} + (-1)\underline{\mathbf{v}}$

7. Referring to the result about subtraction from the previous question, prove that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m$, $\alpha(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{u} - \alpha\mathbf{v}$. (10 points)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\underline{\mathbf{u}} - \underline{\mathbf{v}}) &= \alpha(\underline{\mathbf{u}} + (-1)\underline{\mathbf{v}}) && \text{Problem 6} \\ &= \alpha\underline{\mathbf{u}} + \alpha(-1)\underline{\mathbf{v}} && \text{DVAC} \\ &= \alpha\underline{\mathbf{u}} + (-1)(\alpha\underline{\mathbf{v}}) && \text{SMAC, scalar commutativity} \\ &= \alpha\underline{\mathbf{u}} - \alpha\underline{\mathbf{v}} && \text{Problem 6} \end{aligned}$$

Notice we do not need to use $[\]_i$ & Defn CVE.

8. Suppose that $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^m$. Prove that $\langle \{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\} \rangle = \langle \{\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2\} \rangle$. (10 points)

By Defn SE, need to prove two subset statements

1) $\langle \{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2\} \rangle \subseteq \langle \{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2\} \rangle$?

$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2 \in \langle \{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2\} \rangle$ is enough to show this

2) $\langle \{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2\} \rangle \subseteq \langle \{\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2, \underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2\} \rangle$

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2)$$

is enough to show this.

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 + \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_1 - \underline{\mathbf{v}}_2)$$

